



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov


| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/714,870 | 11/18/2003 | Jorge Gustavo Vigil | P69259US0 | 3134 |
| 136 | 7590 | 01/26/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | QAZI, SABIHA NAIM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1616 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application N . 10/714,870 | Applicant(s) VIGIL ET AL. | |
| | Examin r Sabiha Qazi | Art Unit 1616 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sabiha Qazi.  (3)_____.

(2) Jiwen Chen.  (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed amendments, claims 1-9 and 10-14.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims are amended to overcome the rejection under 112 (2). This will be withdrawn when formal amendments would be filed. Proposed amendments and the prior art of record was considered in detail. Applicants may re-consider amending the process claims completely supported by the specification. Claims drawn to formulations are considered obvious. In absence of any criticality and/or unexpected results, claims are not allowable even they are dependent on process claim. Process claims are different from formulations. Rejections under 103 is maintained. Examiner will reconsider when formal amendments would be filed.